

07/15/98

jc408 U.S. PTO

jc549 U.S. PTO
09/115963
07/15/98

July 15, 1998

BOX PATENT APPLICATION

Assistant Commissioner of Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Re: Reissue Application of Michel Schneider et al
Our Ref: 1201-52

Dear Sir:

This is a request for filing a Reissue Application of U.S. Patent No. 5,413,774 issued on May 9, 1995 by Michel Schneider, Feng Yan, Pascal Grenier, Jerome Puginier, and Marie-Bernadette Barrau entitled "LONG-LASTING AQUEOUS DISPERSIONS OR SUSPENSIONS OF PRESSURE-RESISTANT GAS-FILLED MICROVESICLES AND METHODS FOR THE PREPARATION THEREOF" A Revocation and Appointment of Attorney and an Assent of Assignee are submitted herewith.

Attached please find the specification with claims and an abstract, an Application for Reissue Patent under 35 U.S.C. §251 which includes an Offer to Surrender the Original Patent under 37 C.F.R. §1.178. Also, please find a Reissue Declaration under 37 C.F.R. §1.175 including a Revocation and Appointment of Attorney, and a Request for a Title Report under 37 C.F.R. 1.171.

No drawings were submitted in the original application from which U.S. Patent 5,413,774 issued.

Priority is claimed from

- (1) U.S. Patent Application No. 07/775,989, PCT filed April 2, 1991, issued as U.S. Patent No. 5,271,928 on December 21, 1993,
- (2) International Patent Application No. PCT/EP91/00620 filed April 2, 1991,
- (3) European Patent Application No. 90810262.7 filed April 2, 1990,
- (4) U.S. Patent Application No. 07/695,343 filed

Assistant Commissioner of Patents
Reissue Application of 5,413,774
July 15, 1998
Page 2

May 3, 1991, and

(5) European Patent Application No. 90810367.4
filed May 18, 1990.

The Government filing fee is calculated as follows:

Total claims	<u>50</u>	- 20 =	<u>30</u>	x \$22 =	\$ 660.00
Independent claims ...	<u>12</u>	- 3 =	<u>9</u>	x \$82 =	\$ 738.00
Multiple Dependent Claim Fee					\$ 270.00
Base fee					\$ 790.00
Fee for Title Report					\$ 25.00
TOTAL FILING FEE					\$ 2,483.00

A check for the statutory fee of \$2,483.00 is attached. You are also directed and authorized to charge or credit any difference or overpayment to said account. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees under 37 C.F.R. 1.16 and 1.17 which may be required during the entire pendency of the application to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. A duplicate copy of this transmittal letter is attached.

Respectfully submitted,

NIXON & VANDERHYE, P.C.

Arthur R. Crawford *30/184*
ARTHUR R. CRAWFORD
Reg. No. 25,327

1100 North Glebe Road
8th Floor
Arlington, Virginia 22201
703-816-4006

United States Patent [19]

Schneider et al.

JC549 U.S. PTO
09/115963
07/15/98



[54] LONG-LASTING AQUEOUS DISPERSIONS
OR SUSPENSIONS OF
PRESSURE-RESISTANT GAS-FILLED
MICROVESICLES AND METHODS FOR
THE PREPARATION THEREOF

[75] Inventors: Michel Schneider, Troinex; Feng
Yan, Genève, both of Switzerland;
Pascal Grenier, deceased, late of
Ambilly, by Nadine Garcel, legal
heir; Jérôme Puginier, Le
Châble-Beaumont, both of France;
Marie-Bernadette Barrau, Geneva,
Switzerland

[73] Assignee: Bracco International B.V.,
Amsterdam, Netherlands

[21] Appl. No.: 991,237

[22] Filed: Dec. 16, 1992

[30] Foreign Application Priority Data

Jan. 23, 1992 [EP] European Pat. Off. 92810046

[51] Int. Cl.⁶ A61K 49/00

[52] U.S. Cl. 424/9.51; 128/660.01;
128/661.02; 128/662.02; 424/9.52

[58] Field of Search 424/9; 128/662.02, 660.01,
128/661.02; 530/427

LONG-LASTING AQUEOUS DISPERSIONS OR
SUSPENSIONS OF PRESSURE-RESISTANT
GAS-FILLED MICROVESICLES AND METHODS
FOR THE PREPARATION THEREOF

5

David TECHNICAL FIELD

The present invention concerns stable dispersions or compositions of gas filled microvesicles in aqueous carrier liquids. These dispersions are generally usable for most kinds of applications requiring gases homogeneously dispersed in liquids. One notable application for such dispersions is to be injected into living beings, for instance for ultrasonic echography and other medical applications. The invention also concerns the methods for making the foregoing compositions including some materials involved in the preparations, for instance pressure-resistant gas-filled microbubbles, microcapsules and microballoons.

20

BACKGROUND OF INVENTION

It is well known that microbodies or microglobules of air or gas (defined here as microvesicles), e.g. microbubbles or microballoons, suspended in a liquid are exceptionally efficient ultrasound reflectors for echography. In this disclosure the term of "microbubble" specifically designates hollow spheres or globules, filled with air or a gas, in suspension in a liquid which generally result from the introduction therein of air or gas in divided form, the liquid preferably also containing surfactants or tensides to control the surface properties and the stability of the bubbles. The term of "microcapsule" or "microballoon" designates preferably air or gas-filled bodies with a material boundary or envelope, i.e. a polymer membrane wall. Both microbubbles and microballoons are useful as ultrasonic contrast agents. For instance injecting into the bloodstream of living bodies suspensions of air-filled microbubbles or microballoons (in the range of 0.5 to 10 μm) in a carrier liquid will strongly reinforce ultrasonic echography imaging, thus aiding in the visualization of internal organs. Imaging of vessels and internal organs can strongly help in medical diagnosis, for instance for the detection of cardiovascular and other diseases.

35

40

45

The formation of suspensions of microbubbles in an injectable liquid carrier suitable for echography can be produced by the release of a gas dissolved under pressure in this liquid, or by a chemical reaction generating gaseous products, or by admixing with the liquid soluble or insoluble solids containing air or gas trapped or adsorbed therein.

50

For instance, in U.S. Pat. No. 4,446,442 (Schering), there are disclosed a series of different techniques for producing suspensions of gas microbubbles in a sterilized injectable liquid carrier using (a) a solution of a tenside (surfactant) in a carrier liquid (aqueous) and (b) a solution of a viscosity enhancer as stabilizer. For generating the bubbles, the techniques disclosed there include forcing at high velocity a mixture of (a), (b) and air through a small aperture; or injecting (a) into (b) shortly before use together with a physiologically acceptable gas; or adding an acid to (a) and a carbonate to (b), both components being mixed together just before use and the acid reacting with the carbonate to generate CO_2 bubbles; or adding an over-pressurized gas to a mixture of (a) and (b) under storage, said gas being

released into microbubbles at the time when the mixture is used for injection.

EP-A-131,540 (Schering) discloses the preparation of microbubble suspensions in which a stabilized injectable carrier liquid, e.g. a physiological aqueous solution of salt, or a solution of a sugar like maltose, dextrose, lactose or galactose, is mixed with solid microparticles (in the 0.1 to 1 μm range) of the same sugars containing entrapped air. In order to develop the suspension of bubbles in the liquid carrier, both liquid and solid components are agitated together under sterile conditions for a few seconds and, once made, the suspension must then be used immediately, i.e. it should be injected within 5-10 minutes for echographic measurements; indeed, because they are evanescent, the bubble concentration becomes too low for being practical after that period.

In an attempt to cure the evanescence problem, microballoons, i.e. microvesicles with a material wall, have been developed. As said before, while the microbubbles only have an immaterial or evanescent envelope, i.e. they are only surrounded by a wall of liquid whose surface tension is being modified by the presence of a surfactant, the microballoons or microcapsules have a tangible envelope made of substantive material, e.g. a polymeric membrane with definite mechanical strength. In other terms, they are microvesicles of material in which the air or gas is more or less tightly encapsulated.

For instance, U.S. Pat. No. 4,276,885 (Tickner et al.) discloses using surface membrane microcapsules containing a gas for enhancing ultrasonic images, the membrane including a multiplicity of non-toxic and non-antigenic organic molecules. In a disclosed embodiment, these microbubbles have a gelatine membrane which resists coalescence and their preferred size is 5-10 μm . The membrane of these microbubbles is said to be sufficiently stable for making echographic measurements.

Air-filled microballoons without gelatin are disclosed in U.S. Pat. No. 4,718,433 (Feinstein). These microvesicles are made by sonication (5 to 30 kHz) of protein solutions like 5% serum albumin and have diameters in the 2-20 μm range, mainly 2-4 μm . The microvesicles are stabilized by denaturation of the membrane forming protein after sonication, for instance by using heat or by chemical means, e.g. by reaction with formaldehyde or glutaraldehyde. The concentration of stable microvesicles obtained by this technique is said to be about $8 \times 10^6/\text{ml}$ in the 2-4 μm range, about $10^6/\text{ml}$ in the 4-5 μm range and less than 5×10^5 in the 5-6 μm range. The stability time of these microvesicles is said to be 48 hrs or longer and they permit convenient left heart imaging after intravenous injection. For instance, the sonicated albumin microbubbles when injected into a peripheral vein are capable of transpulmonary passage. This results in echocardiographic opacification of the left ventricle cavity as well as myocardial tissues.

Recently, still further improved microballoons for injection ultrasonic echography have been reported in EP-A-324,938 (Widder). In this document there are disclosed high concentrations (more than $10^8/\text{ml}$) of air-filled protein-bounded microvesicles of less than 10 μm which have life-times of several months or more. Aqueous suspensions of these microballoons are produced by ultrasonic cavitation of solutions of heat denaturable proteins, e.g. human serum albumin, which operation also leads to a degree of foaming of the membrane-forming protein and its subsequent hardening by

heat. Other proteins such as hemoglobin and collagen were also said to be convenient in this process. The high storage stability of the suspensions of microballoons disclosed in EP-A-324.938 enables them to be marketed as such, i.e. with the liquid carrier phase, which is a 5 strong commercial asset since preparation before use is no longer necessary.

Similar advantages have been recently discovered in connection with the preparation of aqueous microbubble suspensions, i.e. there has been discovered storage- 10 stable dry pulverulent composition which will generate long-lasting bubble suspensions upon the addition of water. This is being disclosed in Application PCT/EP 91/00620 where liposomes comprising membrane-forming lipids are freeze-dried, and the freeze-dried lipids, 15 after exposure to air or a gas for a period of time, will produce long-lasting bubble suspensions upon simple addition thereto of an aqueous liquid carrier.

Despite the many progresses achieved regarding the stability under storage of aqueous microbubble suspensions, this being either in the precursor or final preparation stage, there still remained until now the problem of vesicle durability when the suspensions are exposed to overpressure, e.g. pressure variations such as that occurring after injection in the blood stream of a patient 20 and consecutive to heart pulses, particularly in the left ventricle. Actually, the present inventors have observed that, for instance in anaesthetised rabbits, the pressure variations are not sufficient to substantially alter the bubble count for a period of time after injection. 25 In contrast, in dogs and human patients, typical microbubbles or microballoons filled with common gases such as air, methane or CO₂ will collapse completely in a matter of seconds after injection due to the blood pressure effect. This observation has been confirmed by others: For instance, S. GOTTLIEB et al. in J. Am. Soc. of Echocardiography 3 (1990) 238 have reported that cross-linked albumin microballoons prepared by the sonication method were losing all echogenic properties after being subjected to an overpressure 30 of 60 Torr. It became hence important to solve the problem and to increase the useful life of suspensions of microbubbles and membrane bounded microballoons 35 under pressure in order to ensure that echographic measurements can be performed in vivo safely and re- 40 productively.

It should be mentioned at this stage that another category of echogenic image enhancing agents has been proposed which resist overpressures as they consist of plain microspheres with a porous structure, such porosity containing air or a gas. Such microspheres are disclosed for instance in WO-A-91/12823 (DELTA BIOTECHNOLOGY), EP-A-327 490 (SCHERING) and EP-A-458 079 (HOECHST). The drawback with the plain porous microspheres is that the encapsulated gas-filled free space is generally too small for good echogenic response and the spheres lack adequate elasticity. Hence the preference generally remains with the hollow microvesicles and a solution to the collapsing problem was searched. 45 50 55 60

DISCLOSURE OF THE INVENTION

This problem has now been solved by using gases or gas mixtures in conformity with the criteria outlined in the claims. Briefly, it has been found that when the 65 echogenic microvesicles are made in the presence of a gas, respectively are filled at least in part with a gas, having physical properties in conformity with the equa-

tion below, then the microvesicles remarkably resist pressure >60 Torr after injection for a time sufficient to obtain reproducible echographic measurements:

$$\frac{s_{\text{gas}}}{s_{\text{air}}} \times \frac{\sqrt{M_{\text{wair}}}}{\sqrt{M_{\text{wgas}}}} \leq 1$$

In the foregoing equation, "s" designates the solubilities in water expressed as the "BUNSEN" coefficients, i.e. as volume of gas dissolved by unit volume of water under standard conditions (1 bar, 25° C.), and under partial pressure of the given gas of 1 atm (see the Gas Encyclopaedia, Elsevier 1976). Since, under such conditions and definitions, the solubility of air is .0167, and the square root of its average molecular weight (Mw) is 5.39, the above relation simplifies to:

$$s_{\text{gas}}/\sqrt{M_{\text{wgas}}} \leq .0031$$

In the Examples to be found hereafter there is disclosed the testing of echogenic microbubbles and microballoons (see the Tables) filled with a number of different gases and mixtures thereof, and the corresponding resistance thereof to pressure increases, both *in vivo* and *in vitro*. In the Tables, the water solubility factors have also been taken from the aforesaid Gas Encyclopaedia from "L'Air Liquide", Elsevier Publisher (1976).

The microvesicles in aqueous suspension containing gases according to the invention include most microbubbles and microballoons disclosed until now for use as contrast agents for echography. The preferred microballoons are those disclosed in EP-A324.938, PCT/EP91/01706 and EP-A-458 745; the preferred microbubbles are those of PCT/EP91/00620; these microbubbles are advantageously formed from an aqueous liquid and a dry powder (microvesicle precursors) containing lamellarized freeze-dried phospholipids and stabilizers; the microbubbles are developed by agitation of this powder in admixture with the aqueous liquid carrier. The microballoons of EP-A-458 745 have a resilient interfacially precipitated polymer membrane of controlled porosity. They are generally obtained from emulsions into microdroplets of polymer solutions in aqueous liquids, the polymer being subsequently caused to precipitate from its solution to form a fibrogenic membrane at the droplet/liquid interface, which process leads to the initial formation of liquid-filled microvesicles, the liquid core thereof being eventually substituted by a gas.

In order to carry out the method of the present invention, i.e. to form or fill the microvesicles, whose suspensions in aqueous carriers constitute the desired echogenic additives, with the gases according to the foregoing relation, one can either use, as a first embodiment, a two step route consisting of (1) making the microvesicles from appropriate starting materials by any suitable conventional technique in the presence of any suitable gas, and (2) replacing this gas originally used (first gas) for preparing the microvesicles with a new gas (second gas) according to the invention (gas exchange technique).

Otherwise, according to a second embodiment, one can directly prepare the desired suspensions by suitable usual methods under an atmosphere of the new gas according to the invention.

If one uses the two-step route, the initial gas can be first removed from the vesicles (for instance by evacuation under suction) and thereafter replaced by bringing the second gas into contact with the evacuated product, or alternatively, the vesicles still containing the first gas can be contacted with the second gas under conditions where the second gas will displace the first gas from the vesicles (gas substitution). For instance, the vesicle suspensions, or preferably precursors thereof (precursors here may mean the materials the microvesicle envelopes are made of, or the materials which, upon agitation with an aqueous carrier liquid, will generate or develop the formation of microbubbles in this liquid), can be exposed to reduced pressure to evacuate the gas to be removed and then the ambient pressure is restored with the desired gas for substitution. This step can be repeated once or more times to ensure complete replacement of the original gas by the new one. This embodiment applies particularly well to precursor preparations stored dry, e.g. dry powders which will regenerate or develop the bubbles of the echogenic additive upon admixing with an amount of carrier liquid. Hence, in one preferred case where microbubbles are to be formed from an aqueous phase and dry laminarized phospholipids, e.g. powders of dehydrated lyophilized liposomes plus stabilizers, which powders are to be subsequently dispersed under agitation in a liquid aqueous carrier phase, it is advantageous to store this dry powder under an atmosphere of a gas selected according to the invention. A preparation of such kind will keep indefinitely in this state and can be used at any time for diagnosis, provided it is dispersed into sterile water before injection.

Otherwise, and this is particularly so when the gas exchange is applied to a suspension of microvesicles in a liquid carrier phase, the latter is flushed with the second gas until the replacement (partial or complete) is sufficient for the desired purpose. Flushing can be effected by bubbling from a gas pipe or, in some cases, by simply sweeping the surface of the liquid containing the vesicles under gentle agitation with a stream (continuous or discontinuous) of the new gas. In this case, the replacement gas can be added only once in the flask containing the suspension and allowed to stand as such for a while, or it can be renewed one or more times in order to assure that the degree of renewal (gas exchange) is more or less complete.

Alternatively, in a second embodiment as said before, one will effect the full preparation of the suspension of the echogenic additives starting with the usual precursors thereof (starting materials), as recited in the prior art and operating according to usual means of said prior art, but in the presence of the desired gases or mixture of gases according to the invention instead of that of the prior art which usually recites gases such as air, nitrogen, CO₂ and the like.

It should be noted that in general the preparation mode involving one first type of gas for preparing the microvesicles and, thereafter, substituting the original gas by a second kind of gas, the latter being intended to confer different echogenic properties to said microvesicles, has the following advantage: As will be best seen from the results in the Examples hereinafter, the nature of the gas used for making the microvesicles, particularly the microballoons with a polymer envelope, has a definitive influence on the overall size (i.e. the average mean diameter) of said microvesicles; for instance, the size of microballoons prepared under air with precisely

set conditions can be accurately controlled to fall within a desired range, e.g. the 1 to 10 μm range suitable for echographing the left and right heart ventricles. This is not so easy with other gases, particularly the gases in conformity with the requirements of the present invention; hence, when one wishes to obtain microvesicles in a given size range but filled with gases the nature of which would render the direct preparation impossible or very hard, one will much advantageously rely on the two-steps preparation route, i.e. one will first prepare the microvesicles with a gas allowing more accurate diameter and count control, and thereafter replace the first gas by a second gas by gas exchange.

In the description of the Experimental part that follows (Examples), gas-filled microvesicles suspended in water or other aqueous solutions have been subjected to pressures over that of ambient. It was noted that when the overpressure reached a certain value (which is generally typical for a set of microsphere parameters and working conditions like temperature, compression rate, nature of carrier liquid and its content of dissolved gas (the relative importance of this parameter will be detailed hereinafter), nature of gas filler, type of echogenic material, etc.), the microvesicles started to collapse, the bubble count progressively decreasing with further increasing the pressure until a complete disappearance of the sound reflector effect occurred. This phenomenon was better followed optically, (nephelometric measurements) since it is paralleled by a corresponding change in optical density, i.e. the transparency of the medium increases as the bubble progressively collapse. For this, the aqueous suspension of microvesicles (or an appropriate dilution thereof) was placed in a spectrophotometric cell maintained at 25° C. (standard conditions) and the absorbance was measured continuously at 600 or 700 nm, while a positive hydrostatic overpressure was applied and gradually increased. The pressure was generated by means of a peristaltic pump (GILSON's Mlni-puls) feeding a variable height liquid column connected to the spectrophotometric cell, the latter being sealed leak-proof. The pressure was measured with a mercury manometer calibrated in Torr. The compression rate with time was found to be linearly correlated with the pump's speed (rpm's). The absorbance in the foregoing range was found to be proportional to the microvesicle concentration in the carrier liquid.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWING

The invention will now be further described with reference to FIG. 1 which is a graph which relates the bubble concentration (bubble count), expressed in terms of optical density in the aforementioned range, and the pressure applied over the bubble suspension. The data for preparing the graph are taken from the experiments reported in Example 4.

FIG. 1 shows graphically that the change of absorbance versus pressure is represented by a sigmoid-shaped curve. Up to a certain pressure value, the curve is nearly flat which indicates that the bubbles are stable. Then, a relatively fast absorbance drop occurs, which indicates the existence of a relatively narrow critical region within which any pressure increase has a rather dramatic effect on the bubble count. When all the microvesicles have disappeared, the curve levels off again. A critical point on this curve was selected in the middle between the higher and lower optical readings, i.e. intermediate between the "full"-bubble (OD max) and the "no"-bubble (OD min) measurements, this actually cor-

responding where about 50% of the bubbles initially present have disappeared, i.e. where the optical density reading is about half the initial reading, this being set, in the graph, relative to the height at which the transparency of the pressurized suspension is maximal (base 5 line). This point which is also in the vicinity where the slope of the curve is maximal is defined as the critical pressure PC. It was found that for a given gas, PC does not only depend on the aforementioned parameters but also, and particularly so, on the actual concentration of 10 gas (or gases) already dissolved in the carrier liquid: the higher the gas concentration, the higher the critical pressure. In this connection, one can therefore increase the resistance to collapse under pressure of the microvesicles by making the carrier phase saturated with a 15 soluble gas, the latter being the same, or not, (i.e. a different gas) as the one that fills the vesicles. As an example, air-filled microvesicles could be made very resistant to overpressures (>120 Torr) by using, as a carrier liquid, a saturated solution of CO₂. Unfortunately, this finding is of limited value in the diagnostic field since once the contrast agent is injected to the bloodstream of patients (the gas content of which is of course outside control), it becomes diluted therein to such an extent that the effect of the gas originally dissolved in the injected sample becomes negligible.

Another readily accessible parameter to reproducibly compare the performance of various gases as microsphere fillers is the width of the pressure interval (ΔP) limited by the pressure values under which the bubble 30 counts (as expressed by the optical densities) is equal to the 75% and 25% of the original bubble count. Now, it has been surprisingly found that for gases where the pressure difference $\Delta P = P_{25} - P_{75}$ exceeds a value of about 25-30 Torr, the killing effect of the blood pressure on the gas-filled microvesicles is minimized, i.e. the actual decrease in the bubble count is sufficiently slow not to impair the significance, accuracy and reproducibility of echographic measurements.

It was found, in addition, that the values of PC and 40 ΔP also depend on the rate of rising the pressure in the test experiments illustrated by FIG. 1, i.e. in a certain interval of pressure increase rates (e.g. in the range of several tens to several hundreds of Torr/min), the higher the rate, the larger the values for PC and ΔP . 45 For this reason, the comparisons effected under standard temperature conditions were also carried out at the constant increase rate of 100 Torr/min. It should however be noted that this effect of the pressure increase rate on the measure of the PC and ΔP values levels off 50 for very high rates; for instance the values measured under rates of several hundreds of Torr/min are not significantly different from those measured under conditions ruled by heart beats.

Although the very reasons why certain gases obey 55 the aforementioned properties, while others do not, have not been entirely clarified, it would appear that some relation possibly exists in which, in addition to molecular weight and water solubility, dissolution kinetics, and perhaps other parameters, are involved. 60 However these parameters need not be known to practise the present invention since gas eligibility can be easily determined according to the aforesubjected criteria.

The gaseous species which particularly suit the invention are, for instance, halogenated hydrocarbons like the freons and stable fluorinated chalcogenides like SF₆, SeF₆ and the like.

It has been mentioned above that the degree of gas saturation of the liquid used as carrier for the microvesicles according to the invention has an importance on the vesicle stability under pressure variations. Indeed, when the carrier liquid in which the microvesicles are dispersed for making the echogenic suspensions of the invention is saturated at equilibrium with a gas, preferably the same gas with which the microvesicles are filled, the resistance of the microvesicles to collapse under variations of pressure is markedly increased. Thus, when the product to be used as a contrast agent is sold dry to be mixed just before use with the carrier liquid (see for instance the products disclosed in PCT/EP91/00620 mentioned hereinbefore), it is quite advantageous to use, for the dispersion, a gas saturated aqueous carrier. Alternatively, when marketing ready-to-use microvesicle suspensions as contrast agents for echography, one will advantageously use as the carrier liquid for the preparation a gas saturated aqueous solution; in this case the storage life of the suspension will be considerably increased and the product may be kept substantially unchanged (no substantial bubble count variation) for extended periods, for instance several weeks to several months, and even over a year in special cases. Saturation of the liquid with a gas may be effected most easily by simply bubbling the gas into the liquid for a period of time at room temperature.

EXAMPLE 1

Albumin microvesicles filled with air or various gases were prepared as described in EP-A- 324 938 using a 10 ml calibrated syringe filled with a 5% human serum albumin (HSA) obtained from the Blood Transfusion Service, Red-Cross Organization, Bern, Switzerland. A sonicator probe (Sonifier Model 250 from Branson Ultrasonic Corp, USA) was lowered into the solution down to the 4 ml mark of the syringe and sonication was effected for 25 sec (energy setting =8). Then the sonicator probe was raised above the solution level up to the 6 ml mark and sonication was resumed under the pulse mode (cycle=0.3) for 40 sec. After standing overnight at 4° C., a top layer containing most of the microvesicles had formed by buoyancy and the bottom layer containing unused albumin debris of denatured protein and other insolubles was discarded. After resuspending the microvesicles in fresh albumin solution the mixture was allowed to settle again at room temperature and the upper layer was finally collected. When the foregoing sequences were carried out under the ambient atmosphere, air filled microballoons were obtained. For obtaining microballoons filled with other gases, the albumin solution was first purged with a new gas, then the foregoing operational sequences were effected under a stream of this gas flowing on the surface of the solution; then at the end of the operations, the suspension was placed in a glass bottle which was extensively purged with the desired gas before sealing.

The various suspensions of microballoons filled with different gases were diluted to 1:10 with distilled water saturated at equilibrium with air, then they were placed in an optical cell as described above and the absorbance was recorded while increasing steadily the pressure over the suspension. During the measurements, the suspensions temperature was kept at 25° C.

The results are shown in the Table 1 below and are expressed in terms of the critical pressure PC values registered for a series of gases defined by names or formulae, the characteristic parameters of such gases,

i.e. Mw and water solubility being given, as well as the original bubble count and bubble average size (mean diameter in volume).

TABLE 1

Sample	Gas	Mw	Solubility ($10^3/\text{ml}$)	Bubble count ($10^3/\text{ml}$)	Bubble size (μm)	PC (Torr)	S_{gas}/\sqrt{Mw}
AFr1	CF ₄	88	.0038	0.8	5.1	120	.0004
AFr2	CBrF ₃	149	.0045	0.1	11.1	104	.0004
ASF1	SF ₆	146	.005	13.9	6.2	150	.0004
ASF2	SF ₆	146	.005	2.0	7.9	140	.0004
AN1	N ₂	28	.0144	0.4	7.8	62	.0027
A14	Air	29	.0167	3.1	11.9	53	.0031
A18	Air	29	.0167	3.8	9.2	52	—
A19	Air	29	.0167	1.9	9.5	51	—
AMe1	CH ₄	16	.032	0.25	8.2	34	.008
AKr1	Kr	84	.059	0.02	9.2	86	.006
AX1	Xe	131	.108	0.06	17.2	65	.009
AX2	Xe	131	.108	0.03	16.5	89	.009

From the results of Table 1, it is seen that the critical pressure PC increases for gases of lower solubility and higher molecular weight. It can therefore be expected that microvesicles filled with such gases will provide more durable echogenic signals in vivo. It can also be seen that average bubble size generally increases with gas solubility.

25

EXAMPLE 2

Aliquots (1 ml) of some of the microballoon suspensions prepared in Example 1 were injected in the Jugular vein of experimental rabbits in order to test echogenicity in vivo. Imaging of the left and right heart ventricles was carried out in the grey scale mode using an Acuson 128-XP5 echography apparatus and a 7.5 MHz transducer. The duration of contrast enhancement in the left ventricle was determined by recording the signal for a period of time. The results are gathered in Table 2 below which also shows the PC of the gases used.

TABLE 2

Sample (Gas)	Duration of contrast (sec)	PC (Torr)	40
AMe1 (CH ₄)	zero	34	
A14 (air)	10	53	
A18 (air)	11	52	
AX1 (Xe)	20	65	45
AX2 (Xe)	30	89	
ASF2(SF ₆)	>60	140	

From the above results, one can see the existence of a definite correlation between the critical pressure of the gases tried and the persistence in time of the echogenic signal.

EXAMPLE 3

A suspension of echogenic air-filled galactose micro particles (Echovist® from SCHERING AG) was obtained by shaking for 5 sec 3 g of the solid micro particles in 8.5 ml of a 20% galactose solution. In other preparations, the air above a portion of Echovist® particles was evacuated (0.2 Torr) and replaced by an SF₆ atmosphere, whereby, after addition of the 20% galactose solution, a suspension of micro particles containing associated sulfur hexafluoride was obtained. Aliquots (1 ml) of the suspensions were administered to experimental rabbits (by injection in the jugular vein) and imaging of the heart was effected as described in the previous example. In this case the echogenic micro particles do not transit through the lung capillaries, hence

65

imaging is restricted to the right ventricle and the overall signal persistence has no particular significance. The results of Table 3 below show the value of signal peak

intensity a few seconds after injection.

TABLE 3

Sample No	Gas	Signal peak (arbitrary units)
Gal1	air	114
Gal2	air	108
Gal3	SF ₆	131
Gal4	SF ₆	140

It can be seen that sulfur hexafluoride, an inert gas with low water solubility, provides echogenic suspensions which generate echogenic signals stronger than comparable suspensions filled with air. These results are particularly interesting in view of the teachings of EP-A-441 468 and 357 163 (SCHERING) which disclose the use for echography purposes of microparticles, respectively, cavitate and clathrate compounds filled with various gases including SF₆; these documents do not however report particular advantages of SF₆ over other more common gases with regard to the echogenic response.

EXAMPLE 4

A series of echogenic suspensions of gas-filled microbubbles were prepared by the general method set forth below:

One gram of a mixture of hydrogenated soya lecithin (from Nattermann Phospholipids GmbH, Germany) and dicetyl-phosphate (DCP), in 9/1 molar ratio, was dissolved in 50 ml of chloroform, and the solution was placed in a 100 ml round flask and evaporated to dryness on a Rotavapor apparatus. Then, 20 ml of distilled water were added and the mixture was slowly agitated at 75° C. for an hour. This resulted in the formation of a suspension of multilamellar liposomes (MLV) which was thereafter extruded at 75° C. through, successively, 3 µm and 0.8 µm polycarbonate membranes (Nuclepore). After cooling, 1 ml aliquots of the extruded suspension were diluted with 9 ml of a concentrated lactose solution (83 g/l), and the diluted suspensions were frozen at -45° C. The frozen samples were thereafter freeze-dried under high vacuum to a free-flowing powder in a vessel which was ultimately filled with air or a gas taken from a selection of gases as indicated in Table 4 below. The powdery samples were then resuspended in 10 ml of water as the carrier liquid, this being effected under a stream of the same gas used to fill the

said vessels. Suspension was effected by vigorously shaking for 1 min on a vortex mixer.

The various suspensions were diluted 1:20 with distilled water equilibrated beforehand with air at 25° C. and the dilutions were then pressure tested at 25° C. as 5 disclosed in Example 1 by measuring the optical density in a spectrophotometric cell which was subjected to a progressively increasing hydrostatic pressure until all bubbles had collapsed. The results are collected in Table 4 below which, in addition to the critical pressure 10 PC, gives also the ΔP values (see FIG. 1).

TABLE 4

Sample No	Gas	M _w	Solubility in H ₂ O	Bubble count (10 ⁸ /ml)	PC (Torr)	ΔP (Torr)	15
LFre1	CF ₄	88	.0038	1.2	97	35	
LFre2	CBrF ₃	149	.0045	0.9	116	64	
LSF1	SF ₆	146	.005	1.2	92	58	
LFre3	C ₄ F ₈	200	.016	1.5	136	145	
L1	air	29	.0167	15.5	68	17	
L2	air	29	.0167	11.2	63	17	20
LAr1	Ar	40	.031	14.5	71	18	
LKr1	Kr	84	.059	12.2	86	18	
LXe1	Xe	131	.108	10.1	92	23	
LFre4	CHClF ₂	86	.78	—	83	25	

25 The foregoing results clearly indicate that the highest resistance to pressure increases is provided by the most water-insoluble gases. The behavior of the microbubbles is therefore similar to that of the microballoons in this regard. Also, the less water-soluble gases with the 30 higher molecular weights provide the flattest bubble-collapse/pressure curves (i.e. ΔP is the widest) which is also an important factor of echogenic response durability in vivo, as indicated hereinbefore.

EXAMPLE 5

Some of the microbubble suspensions of Example 4 were injected to the jugular vein of experimental rabbits as indicated in Example 2 and imaging of the left heart ventricle was effected as indicated previously. The 40 duration of the period for which a useful echogenic signal was detected was recorded and the results are shown in Table 5 below in which C₄F₈ indicates octafluorocyclobutane.

TABLE 5

Sample No	Type of gas	Contrast duration (sec)	45
L1	Air	38	
L2	Air	29	
LMe1	CH ₄	47	
LKr1	Krypton	37	50
LFre1	CF ₄	>120	
LFre2	CBrF ₃	92	
LSF1	SF ₆	>112	
LFre3	C ₄ F ₈	>120	

55 These results indicate that, again in the case of microbubbles, the gases according to the criteria of the present invention will provide ultrasonic echo signal for a much longer period than most gases used until now.

EXAMPLE 6

Suspensions of microbubbles were prepared using different gases exactly as described in Example 4, but replacing the lecithin phospholipid ingredient by a mole equivalent of diarachidoylphosphatidylcholine (C₂₀ 65 fatty acid residue) available from Avanti Polar Lipids, Birmingham, Ala. USA. The phospholipid to DCP molar ratio was still 9/1. Then the suspensions were

pressure tested as in Example 4; the results, collected in Table 6A below, are to be compared with those of Table 4.

TABLE 6A

Sample No	Type of gas	Mw of gas	Solubility in water	Bubble count ($10^8/\text{ml}$)	PC (Torr)	ΔP (Torr)
LFre1	CF ₄	88	.0038	3.4	251	124
LFre2	CBrF ₃	149	.0045	0.7	121	74
LSF1	SF ₆	146	.005	3.1	347	>150
LFre3	CaF ₈	200	.016	1.7	>350	>200
L1	Air	29	.0167	3.8	60	22
LBu1	Butane	58	.027	0.4	64	26
LAr1	Argon	40	.031	3.3	84	47
LMel	CH ₄	16	.032	3.0	51	19
LEt1	C ₂ H ₆	44	.034	1.4	61	26
LKr1	Kr	84	.059	2.7	63	18
LXe1	Xe	131	.108	1.4	60	28
LFre4	CHClF ₂	86	.78	0.4	58	28

The above results, compared to that of Table 4, show that, at least with low solubility gases, by lengthening the chain of the phospholipid fatty acid residues, one can dramatically increase the stability of the echogenic suspension toward pressure increases. This was further confirmed by repeating the foregoing experiments but replacing the phospholipid component by its higher homolog, i.e. di-behenoylphosphatidylcholine (C₂₂ fatty acid residue). In this case, the resistance to collapse with pressure of the microbubbles suspensions was still further increased.

Some of the microbubbles suspensions of this Example were tested in dogs as described previously for rabbits (imaging of the heart ventricles after injection of 5 ml samples in the anterior cephalic vein). A significant enhancement of the useful in-vivo echogenic response was noted, in comparison with the behavior of the preparations disclosed in Example 4, i.e. the increase in chain length of the fatty-acid residue in the phospholipid component increases the useful life of the echogenic agent in-vivo.

In the next Table below, there is shown the relative stability in the left ventricle of the rabbit of microbubbles (SF₆) prepared from suspensions of a series of phospholipids whose fatty acid residues have different chain lengths (< injected dose: 1 ml/rabbit).

TABLE 6B

Phospholipid	Chain length (C _n)	PC (Torr)	ΔP (Torr)	Duration of contrast (sec)
DMPC	14	57	37	31
DPPC	16	100	76	105
DSPC	18	115	95	120
DAPC	20	266	190	>300

It has been mentioned hereinabove that for the measurement of resistance to pressure described in these Examples, a constant rate of pressure rise of 100 Torr/min was maintained. This is justified by the results given below which show the variations of the PC values for different gases in function to the rate of pressure increase. In these samples DMPC was the phospholipid used.

Gas sample	PC (Torr)		
	40	100	200
SF ₆	51	57	82
Air	39	50	62
CH ₄	47	61	69
Xe	38	43	51
Freon 22	37	54	67

5

10

EXAMPLE 7

A series of albumin microballoons as suspensions in water were prepared under air in a controlled sphere size fashion using the directions given in Example 1. 15 Then the air in some of the samples was replaced by other gases by the gas-exchange sweep method at ambient pressure. Then, after diluting to 1:10 with distilled water as usual, the samples were subjected to pressure testing as in Example 1. From the results gathered in 20 Table 7 below, it can be seen that the two-steps preparation mode gives, in some cases, echo-generating agents with better resistance to pressure than the one-step preparation mode of Example 1.

25

TABLE 7

Sample No	Type of gas	Mw of the gas	Solubility in water	Initial bubble count ($10^3/\text{ml}$)	PC (Torr)	
A14	Air	29	.0167	3.1	53	30
A18	Air	29	.0167	3.8	52	
A18/SF ₆	SF ₆	146	.005	0.8	115	
A18/C ₂ H ₆	C ₂ H ₆	30	.042	3.4	72	
A19	Air	29	.0167	1.9	51	
A19/SF ₆	SF ₆	146	.005	0.6	140	35
A19/Xe	Xe	131	.108	1.3	67	
A22/CF ₄	CF ₄	88	.0038	1.0	167	
A22/Kr	Kr	84	.059	0.6	85	

EXAMPLE 8

40

The method of the present invention was applied to an experiment as disclosed in the prior art, for instance Example 1 WO-92/11873. Three grams of Pluronic ® F68 (a copolymer of polyoxyethylene-polyoxypropylene with a molecular weight of 8400), 1 g of dipalmitoylphosphatidylglycerol (Na salt, AVANTI Polar Lipids) and 3.6 g of glycerol were added to 80 ml of distilled water. After heating at about 80° C., a clear homogenous solution was obtained. The tenside solution was cooled to room temperature and the volume 50 was adjusted to 100 ml. In some experiments (see Table 8) dipalmitoylphosphatidylglycerol was replaced by a mixture of diarachidoylphosphatidylcholine (920 mg) and 80 mg of dipalmitoylphosphatidic acid (Na salt, AVANTI Polar lipids). 55

The bubble suspensions were obtained by using two syringes connected via a three-way valve. One of the syringes was filled with 5 ml of the tenside solution while the other was filled with 0.5 ml of air or gas. The three-way valve was filled with the tenside solution 60 before it was connected to the gas-containing syringe. By alternatively operating the two pistons, the tenside solutions were transferred back and forth between the two syringes (5 times in each direction), milky suspensions were formed. After dilution (1:10 to 1:50) with 65 distilled water saturated at equilibrium with air, the resistance to pressure of the preparations was determined according to Example 1, the pressure increase

rate was 240 Torr/min. The following results were obtained:

TABLE 8

Phospholipid	Gas	Pc (mm Hg)	DP (mm Hg)
DPPG	air	28	17
DPPG	SF ₆	138	134
DAPC/DPPA 9/1	air	46	30
DAPC/DPPA 9/1	SF ₆	269	253

It follows that by using the method of the invention and replacing air with other gases e.g. SF₆ even with known preparations a considerable improvements i.e. increase in the resistance to pressure may be achieved. This is true both in the case of negatively charged phospholipids (e.g. DPPG) and in the case of mixtures of neutral and negatively charged phospholipids (DAPC/DPPA).

The above experiment further demonstrates that the recognised problem sensitivity of microbubbles and microballoons to collapse when exposed to pressure i.e. when suspensions are injected into living beings, has advantageously been solved by the method of the invention. Suspensions with microbubbles or microballoons with greater resistance against collapse and greater stability can advantageously be produced providing suspensions with better reproducibility and improved safety of echographic measurements performed *in vivo* on a human or animal body.

We claim:

Subj

1. A method of making a contrast agent having resistance against collapse from pressure increases when used in ultrasonic echography, said contrast agent consisting of gas-filled microvesicles suspended in an aqueous liquid carrier phase, the microvesicles being either microbubbles bounded by an evanescent gas/liquid interfacial closed surface, or microballoons bounded by a material envelope, said method comprising the step of forming the microvesicles in the presence of a physiologically acceptable gas, or gas mixture comprising a physiologically acceptable gas, or filling preformed microvesicles with said gas, or said gas mixture, said physiologically acceptable gas being selected from the group consisting of SF₆, SeF₆, CF₄, CBrF₃, C₄F₈, CClF₃, CCl₂F₂, C₂F₆, C₂ClF₅, CBrClF₂, C₂Cl₂F₄, CBr₂F₂ and C₄F₁₀, said microvesicles having resistance against collapse resulting, at least in part, from pressure increases effective when a suspension of said gas-filled microvesicles is injected into the bloodstream of a patient.

2. A method of making a contrast agent having resistance against collapse from pressure increases when used in ultrasonic echography, said contrast agent consisting of gas-filled microvesicles suspended in an aqueous liquid carrier phase, the microvesicles being either microbubbles bounded by an evanescent gas/liquid interfacial closed surface, or microballoons bounded by a material envelope, said method comprising the steps of:

performing the microvesicles or precursors thereof under an atmosphere of a first gas; and substantially substituting at least a fraction of said first gas with a second gas which is a physiologically acceptable gas, or gas mixture comprising a physiologically acceptable gas, said physiologically acceptable gas being selected from the group consisting of SF₆, SeF₆, CF₄, CBrF₃, C₄F₈, CClF₃, CCl₂F₂, C₂F₆, C₂ClF₅, CBrClF₂, C₂Cl₂F₄, CBr₂F₂ and C₄F₁₀, said microvesicles having resis-

Suba ~~stance~~ against collapse resulting, at least in part, from pressure increases effective when a suspension of said gas-filled microvesicles is injected into the bloodstream of a patient.

3. The method of claim 2, in which the gas used in the ⁵ first step allows effective control of the average size and concentration of the microvesicles in the carrier liquid, and the physiologically acceptable gas added in the second step ensures prolonged useful echogenic life of ¹⁰ the suspension for in-vivo ultrasonic imaging.

4. The method of claim 1, in which the aqueous phase carrying the microbubbles contains dissolved film-forming surfactants in lamellar or laminar fog said surfactants stabilizing the microbubbles boundary at the ¹⁵ gas/liquid interface.

5. The method of claim 4, in which said surfactants comprise one or more phospholipids.

6. The method of claim 5, in which at least part of the ²⁰ phospholipids are in the form of liposomes.

7. The method of claim 5, in which at least one of the phospholipids is a diacylphosphatidyl compound wherein the acyl group is a C₁₆ fatty acid residue or a higher homologue thereof.

8. The method of claim 1, in which the microballoon ²⁵ material envelope is made of an organic polymeric membrane.

9. The method of claim 8, in which the polymers of the membrane are selected from the group consisting of polylactic or polyglycolic acid and their copolymers, ³⁰ reticulated serum albumin, reticulated haemoglobin polystyrene, and esters of polyglutamic and polyaspartic acids.

10. The method of claim 1, in which the forming of ³⁵ vesicles with said physiologically acceptable gas is effected by alternately subjecting dry precursors thereof

to reduced pressure and restoring the pressure with said gas, and dispersing the precursors in a liquid carrier.

11. The method of claim 1, in which the filling of the microballoons with said physiologically acceptable gas is effected by flushing the suspension with said gas under ambient pressure.

12. The method of claim 1, in which the microvesicles are made under an atmosphere composed at least in part of said gas.

Sub A ³
13. A method of making a contrast agent for ultrasonic echography which consists of gas-filled microvesicles suspended in an aqueous liquid carrier phase, the microvesicles having resistance against collapse resulting from pressure increases effective when the said suspensions are injected into the bloodstream of a patient, said method comprising the step of forming the microvesicles in the presence of a physiologically acceptable gas or gas mixture comprising a physiologically acceptable gas, or filling preformed microvesicles with said gas or said gas mixture, said physiologically acceptable gas being selected from the group consisting of SF₆, SeF₆, CF₄, CBrF₃, C₄F₈, CClF₃, CCl₂F₂, C₂F₆, C₂ClF₅, CBrClF₂, C₂Cl₂F₄, CBr₂F₂ and C₄F₁₀, said gas or at least a gas in said gas mixture being such that, under standard conditions, the pressure difference ΔP between pressures at which the bubble counts are about 75% and 25% of the original bubble count is at least 25Torr.

14. An aqueous suspension made according to the method of claim 13, wherein the physiologically acceptable gas is such that, under standard conditions, and at a rate of pressure increase to the suspension of about 100 Torr/min, the pressure difference ΔP between pressures at which the bubble counts are about 75% and 25% of the original bubble count is at least 25Torr.

* * * * *

Sub A ⁴



5413774A

[11] Patent Number: 5,413,774

[45] Date of Patent: May 9, 1995

[56] References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,276,885	7/1981	Tickner et al.	128/660
4,466,442	8/1984	Hillmann et al.	128/653
4,718,433	1/1988	Feinstein	128/660
5,147,631	9/1992	Glajach et al.	424/9

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

131540	7/1984	European Pat. Off.	.
324938	12/1988	European Pat. Off.	.
327490	2/1989	European Pat. Off.	.
458079	4/1991	European Pat. Off.	.
458745	5/1991	European Pat. Off.	.
WO91/12823	5/1991	WIPO	.
WO91/15244	10/1991	WIPO	.
WO92/05806	4/1992	WIPO	.

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Epstein et al., J. Chem. Physics, Nov. 1990.


Primary Examiner—Shailendra Kumar
Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Nixon & Vanderhye

[57] ABSTRACT

One can impart outstanding resistance against collapse under pressure to gas-filled microvesicle used as contrast agents in ultrasonic echography by using as fillers gases whose solubility in water, expressed in liter of gas by liter of water under standard conditions, divided by the square root of the molecular weight does not exceed 0.003.

14 Claims, 1 Drawing Sheet

OD (700 nm)

**Fig. 1**

RULE 63 (37 C.F.R. 1.63)
DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY
FOR PATENT APPLICATION
IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name, and I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

**LONG-LASTING AQUEOUS DISPERSIONS OR SUSPENSIONS OF PRESSURE-RESISTANT GAS-FILLED MICROVESICLES AND METHODS
FOR THE PREPARATION THEREOF**

the specification of which (check applicable box(s)):

is attached hereto
 was filed on _____ as U.S. Application Serial No. _____ (Atty Dkt. No. 1201-55)
 was filed as PCT International application No. _____ on _____
 and (if applicable to U.S. or PCT application) was amended on _____

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above. I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the patentability of this application in accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.56. I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. 119/365 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed or, if no priority is claimed, before the filing date of this application:

Priority Foreign Application(s):

Application Number	Country	Day/Month/Year Filed
EP 90810262.7	Europe	April 2, 1990
EP 90810367.4	Europe	May 18, 1990
PCT/EP91/00620	World	April 2, 1991
EP 92810046	Europe	January 23, 1992
EP 93810885.9	Europe	December 15, 1993

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. 120/365 of all prior United States and PCT international applications listed above or below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in such prior applications in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in 37 C.F.R. 1.56 which occurred between the filing date of the prior applications and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

Prior U.S./PCT Application(s):

Application Serial No.	Day/Month/Year Filed	Status: patented pending, abandoned
PCT/EP91/00620	April 2, 1991	
07/775,989	November 20, 1991	5,271,928 issued December 21, 1993
08/128,540	September 29, 1993	5,380,519 issued January 10, 1995
08/352,108	November 30, 1994	5,556,610 issued September 17, 1996
07/695,343	May 3, 1991	
08/033,435	March 18, 1993	abandoned
08/288,550	August 10, 1994	abandoned
		pending

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon. And I hereby appoint **NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.**, 1100 North Glebe Rd., 8th Floor, Arlington, VA 22201-4714, telephone number (703) 816-4000 (to whom all communications are to be directed), and the following attorneys thereof (of the same address) individually and collectively my attorneys to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith and with the resulting patent: Arthur R. Crawford, 25327; Larry S. Nixon, 25640; Robert A. Vanderhye, 27076; James T. Hosmer, 30184; Robert W. Faris, 31352; Richard G. Besha, 22770; Mark E. Nusbaum, 32348; Michael J. Keenan, 32106; Bryan H. Davidson, 30251; Stanley C. Spooner, 27393; Leonard C. Mitchard, 29009; Duane M. Byers, 33363; Paul J. Henon, 33626; Jeffry H. Nelson, 30481; John R. Lastova, 33149; H. Warren Burnam, Jr. 29366; Thomas E. Byrne, 32205; Mary J. Wilson, 32955; J. Scott Davidson, 33489; Alan M. Kagen, 36178; William J. Griffin, 31260; Robert A. Molan, 29834; B. J. Sadoff, 36663; James D. Berquist, 34776.*

1. Inventor's Signature:	<u>Michael Schneider</u>			Date: <u>22/10/1997</u>
Inventor:	Michel	SCHNEIDER		
Residence: (city)	(first)	(last)		(citizenship)
Post Office Address:				
(Zip Code)				
2. Inventor's Signature:	<u>Feng YAN</u>			Date: <u>22/10/1997</u>
Inventor:	Feng	YAN		
Residence: (city)	(first)	(last)		(citizenship)
Post Office Address:				
(Zip Code)				

FOR ADDITIONAL INVENTORS, check box and attach sheet with same information and signature and date for each.

3.	Inventor's Signature:				Date:	
	Inventor:	Pascal (first)	MI	GRENIER (last)	(citizenship)	
	Residence: (city)					
	Post Office Address:					
	(Zip Code)					
4.	Inventor's Signature:	<i>Jérôme Puginier</i>			Date:	<u>22-10-1997</u>
	Inventor:	Jérôme (first)	MI	PUGINIER (last)	(citizenship)	
	Residence: (city)	<u>31 rue des brulins</u>			(state/country)	
	Post Office Address:					
	(Zip Code)	<u>74520 VALLEIRY</u>			FRANCE	
5.	Inventor's Signature:	<i>Marie-Bernadette Barrau</i>			Date:	<u>22/10/97</u>
	Inventor:	Marie-Bernadette (first)	MI	BARRAU (last)	(citizenship)	
	Residence: (city)					
	Post Office Address:					
	(Zip Code)					
6.	Inventor's Signature:	<i>Philippe Bussat</i>			Date:	<u>22/10/97</u>
	Inventor:	Philippe (first)	MI	BUSSAT (last)	(citizenship)	
	Residence: (city)					
	Post Office Address:					
	(Zip Code)					
7.	Inventor's Signature:	<i>Eva Hybl</i>			Date:	<u>7/11/97</u>
	Inventor:	Eva (first)	MI	HYBL (last)	(citizenship)	
	Residence: (city)	<u>HEIDELBERG</u>			(state/country)	
	Post Office Address:	<u>1/T. Brückenkopfstrasse</u>			GERMANY	
	(Zip Code)	<u>69120</u>				
8.	Inventor's Signature:	<i>X Daniel Bichon</i>			Date:	<u>27-10-97</u>
	Inventor:	Daniel (first)	MI	BICHON (last)	(citizenship)	
	Residence: (city)	<u>MONTELLIER</u>			(state/country)	
	Post Office Address:	<u>9, rue de Nazareth</u>			FRANCE	
	(Zip Code)	<u>34000</u>				

United States Patent & Trademark Office
Office of Initial Patent Examination

Application papers not suitable for publication

SN 09115963

Mail Date 07/15/78

- Non-English Specification
- Specification contains drawing(s) on page(s) _____ or table(s) _____
- Landscape orientation of text Specification Claims Abstract
- Handwritten Specification Claims Abstract
- More than one column Specification Claims Abstract
- Improper line spacing Specification Claims Abstract
- Claims not on separate page(s)
- Abstract not on separate page(s)
- Improper paper size -- Must be either A4 (21 cm x 29.7 cm) or 8-1/2"x 11"
 - Specification page(s) _____ Abstract
 - Drawing page(s) _____ Claim(s)
- Improper margins
 - Specification page(s) _____ Abstract
 - Drawing page(s) _____ Claim(s)
- Not reproducible

Reason Section

- Paper too thin Specification page(s) _____
- Glossy pages Drawing page(s) _____
- Non-white background Abstract
- Drawing objection(s) Claim(s)
- Missing lead lines, drawing(s) _____
- Line quality is too light, drawing(s) _____
- More than 1 drawing and not numbered correctly
- Non-English text, drawing(s) _____
- Excessive text, drawing(s) _____
- Photographs capable of illustration, drawing(s) _____